



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/870,014	05/31/2001	Francis Briand	S 5405 US-OP/MM	8422

466 7590 09/04/2003

YOUNG & THOMPSON
745 SOUTH 23RD STREET 2ND FLOOR
ARLINGTON, VA 22202

EXAMINER

MCHENRY, KEVIN L

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1725

DATE MAILED: 09/04/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary

Application No.

09/870,014

Applicant(s)

BRIAND ET AL.

Examiner

Kevin L McHenry

Art Unit

1725

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Kevin L McHenry, examiner.

(3) _____

(2) Roland Long, applicant's representative.

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 22 August 2003.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: all.

Identification of prior art discussed: Hamasaki (U.S.P. 4,507,540), Yenni (U.S.P. 2,753,427), and Steen (U.S.P. 4,167,662).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.


Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The prior art of the rejection (such as Hamasaki, Yenni, and Steen) was reviewed. The applicant argued that the secondary references teaching gases for MIG or gas-shielded arc welding were not obvious to combine with hybrid welding references..

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.



Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


M. ALEXANDRA ELVE
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Examiner's signature, if required